## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,516	HOU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANTHONY SHUMATE	1775	

	ANTHONY SHUMATE	1775		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FIIN	n. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 October 2010. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112 rejection, particularly for the phrase, "preferably".				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>19, 21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-22,24-29 and 31-35</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Attached.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Michael A Marcheschi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1775				